


### Q1 Do you concur with Washington OneNet's comments to the FirstNet Third Notice?

Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	89.09%	49
No	10.91%	6
<b>Total Respondents: 55</b>		

FirstNet: 3rd Notice

**Q2 Do you have additional comments you would like included in Washington State's official response to FirstNet's Third Notice?**

Answered: 12 Skipped: 43

#	Responses	Date
1	I agree with the need to make OneNet financially viable. My only concern is the operational integration of the non-traditional emergency responders into the network. How much of the system's capacity will be utilized by the non-traditional groups? Will the non-traditional groups have the same access level as fire, ems, and law enforcement if the system is nearing operational capacity?	6/2/2015 1:56 PM
2	I believe FirstNet users should be specifically identified as first responders i.e.: Police, Fire, EMS, DOT etc. As a telecommunications provider, we have assisted in restoration of service during emergencies (floods, storms). I can see no reason our company should need access to FirstNet for an event that only happens once every 2 to 5 years. Nor could I justify the cost even if the devices were less than a cell phone. If they are not used regularly, they get lost, or we forget how to use them.	6/2/2015 11:54 AM
3	Consideration of bandwidth usage needs to be prioritized for first responders having the highest reliability.	6/2/2015 9:00 AM
4	The array of organizations who may have some link to assistance in public safety services is enormous both in terms of diversity of services as well as the number of users added to the system. It is safe to say that the number of possible users in organizations tenuously linked to public safety is many times that of organizations whose primary mission is public safety. Depending on access permissions, system user prioritization and other factors it may result in a public safety system primarily for non public safety use. For example, if system access was granted to railway response teams interoperability could potentially be improved. However, if access was given to all railroads, all the time, because they at times have public safety functions, I would question the utilization. Free market utilization of available bandwidth with ruthless preemption is a better funding model than unspecified system access to the myriad of organizations with generally small and difficult to define ties to public safety.	6/2/2015 8:58 AM
5	I have fears that this will become a huge bureaucracy heavily loaded on the administration end that will place a great burden on taxpayers and utility ratepayers.	6/2/2015 8:50 AM
6	Yes! The definition of 'Public Safety' does need to be inclusive, and allow many types of usage.	6/2/2015 7:43 AM
7	A very broad definition of Public safety seems to be the only financially viable approach to making FirstNet a viable choice for rural areas/	6/1/2015 6:52 PM
8	Recommend specifically including military, federal agencies, State and local government in their full time or part time support of public safety.	5/30/2015 11:21 PM
9	the time during and in a critical incident can be potentially to fraught with politics to have the operational be the only structure defining or authorizing access during an incident - which will be precisely the point of need. more thought to who authorizes access is needed. and comment yes to inclusive on public safety definition	5/29/2015 4:35 AM
10	I believe in the inclusive vs. exclusive model. If you are a stakeholder in primary disaster recovery/public safety then OneNet is for your use.	5/28/2015 7:25 PM
11	Network needs confirmed capacity before bringing on additional entities. I think the network should be initially limited to traditional first responders.	5/28/2015 2:29 PM
12	The scope of 'who' qualifies as public safety could also fluctuate depending on the type of event that is occurring. At times perhaps PUD would qualify during storm events, but not during a plane crash. There has to be some ability to allow and remove 'partners' based on availability of network during an event.	5/28/2015 11:37 AM